

# ILLINOIS EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY STATE INDOOR RADON GRANT APPLICATION



01-28-2019

GRANT INFORMATION		
Name of the Awarding State Agency:		
Catalog of State Financial Assistance (CSFA) Number:		
CSFA Title:		
CFDA Number:		
CFDA Title:		
<b>Funding Opportunity Number:</b>		
<b>Funding Opportunity Title:</b>		
<b>Funding Opportunity Program Field:</b>		
<b>Competition Identification Number:</b>		
<b>Competition Identification Title:</b>		
<b>Grant Program Match Percentage:</b>		

SUB-AWARD RECIPIENT INFORMATION				
1	Legal Name of Applicant:			
2	Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN, TIN):			
3	Data Universal Number System			
	(DUNS) Number:			
4	Cage Code:			
5	SAM Expiration Date:			
		•		
	BUSIN	ESS ADDRESS		
6	Street:			
7	City:			
8	State:			
9	County:			
10	Zip+4:			
	GRANT PO	OINT OF CONTACT		
11	Name			
12	Street:			
13	City:			
14	County:			
15	State			
16	Zip			
17	Email			
18	Phone			
I	LEGAL AUTHORIZED OFFICIAL (SIGNATORY AUTHORITY)			
19	Name			
20	Street:			
21	City:			
22	County:			
23	State			
24	Zip			
25	Email			
26	Phone			

# SCOPE OF WORK FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2020

STATEMENT OF WORK		
STATEMENT OF RADON PROBLEM		
DIATEMENT OF KADON ROBLEM		
WORK PLAN		

Grantee will complete the following tasks in 2020:

	DESCRIPTION OF TASKS	ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE
Task 1.		
Task 2.		
Task 3.		
Task 4.		
Task 5.		
Task 6.		
Task 7.		
Task 8.		
Task 9.		
Task 10.		
Task 11.		
Task 12.		

Measures Template	Totals
Number of home tested.	
Number of homes mitigated.	
Number of homes built with radon resistant new construction (RRNC).	
Number of schools mitigated or built with radon resistant new construction.	

2020 Budget			
Budget Category	Federal / State Passthrough Requested	MATCH Provided	TOTAL
1. Personnel (200.430)			
2. Fringe Benefits (200.431)			
3. Travel (200.474)			
4. Equipment (200.439)			
5. Supplies (200.94)			
6. Contractual/Subawards (200.318 and .92)			
7. Telecommunications			
8. Training and Education (200.472)			
9. Miscellaneous Costs			
10. Grant Exclusive Line Item(s)			
11. Total Direct Costs (add lines 1-15)			
12. Total Indirect Costs (200.414)			
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS			
TOTAL MATCH PROVIDED			
AMOUNT BEING REQUESTED IN FEDERAL PASSTHROUGH AND STATE OF FUNDS			

# SCOPE OF WORK FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2021

STATEMENT OF WORK		
CTATEMENT OF DADON DOOR EM		
STATEMENT OF RADON PROBLEM		
WORK PLAN		

Grantee will complete the following tasks in 2021:

DESCI	RIPTION OF TASKS	ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE
Task 1.		
Task 2.		
Task 3.		
Task 4.		
Task 5.		
Task 6.		
Task 7.		
Task 8.		
Task 9.		
Task 10.		
Task 11.		
Task 12.		

Measures Template	Totals
Number of home tested.	
Number of homes mitigated.	
Number of homes built with radon resistant new construction (RRNC).	
Number of schools mitigated or built with radon resistant new construction.	

2021 Budget			
Budget Category	Federal / State Passthrough Requested	MATCH Provided	TOTAL
1. Personnel (200.430)			
2. Fringe Benefits (200.431)			
3. Travel (200.474)			
4. Equipment (200.439)			
5. Supplies (200.94)			
6. Contractual/Subawards (200.318 and .92)			
7. Telecommunications			
8. Training and Education (200.472)			
9. Miscellaneous Costs			
10. Grant Exclusive Line Item(s)			
11. Total Direct Costs (add lines 1-15)			
12. Total Indirect Costs (200.414)			
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS			
TOTAL MATCH PROVIDED			
AMOUNT BEING REQUESTED IN FEDERAL PASSTHROUGH AND STATE OF FUNDS			

# SCOPE OF WORK FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2022

STATEMENT OF WORK		
STATEMENT OF RADON PROBLEM		
WORK PLAN		

Grantee will complete the following tasks in 2022:

	DESCRIPTION OF TASKS	ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE
Task 1.		
Task 2.		
Task 3.		
Task 4.		
Task 5.		
Task 6.		
Task 7.		
Task 8.		
Task 9.		
Task 10.		
Task 11.		
Task 12.		

Measures Template	TOTALS
Number of home tested.	
Number of homes mitigated.	
Number of homes built with radon resistant new construction (RRNC).	
Number of schools mitigated or built with radon resistant new construction.	

2022 Budget				
Budget Category	Federal / State Passthrough Requested	MATCH Provided	TOTAL	
1. Personnel (200.430)				
2. Fringe Benefits (200.431)				
3. Travel (200.474)				
4. Equipment (200.439)				
5. Supplies (200.94)				
6. Contractual/Subawards (200.318 and .92)				
7. Telecommunications				
8. Training and Education (200.472)				
9. Miscellaneous Costs				
10. Grant Exclusive Line Item(s)				
11. Total Direct Costs (add lines 1-15)				
12. Total Indirect Costs (200.414)				
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS				
TOTAL MATCH PROVIDED				
AMOUNT BEING REQUESTED IN FEDERAL PASSTHROUGH AND STATE OF FUNDS				

#### **INDIRECT COSTS**

#### **Indirect Cost Rate Information**

If your organization is requesting reimbursement for indirect costs on line 17 of the Budget Summary, please select one of the following options.

In order for your organization to be reimbursed for the Indirect Costs from the State of Illinois your organization must either:

- a. Have a negotiated federal Indirect Cost Rate; or
- b. Elect to use the de minimis rate of 10% modified for total direct costs (MTDC).

If no reimbursement is being requested please consult your program office regarding possible match requirements.

SELECT ONLY ONE							
		Agreement ( State of Illino NICRA will be restrictions of	NICRA) work indirection was indicated with the control of the cont		gency. A copy of this ag locumentation before re ncies up to any statutor	greement will be pro eimbursement is allo y, rule-based or pro	ovided to the owed. This ogrammatic
N/A	2.	Illinois that we programmat	vill be acce ic restriction the Indirec	rently has a Negotiated Ind epted by all State of Illinois ons or limitations. Our Orga t Cost Unit within 6 months (c).	agencies up to any stat nization is required to s	utory, rule-based o ubmit a new Indired	r ct Cost Rate
N/A	3. Our Organization currently does not have a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with the State of Illinois. Our organization will submit our initial Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) immediately after our Organization is advised that the State award will be made no later than 3 months after the effective date of the State award pursuant to 2 CFR 200 Appendix (C)(2)(b). The initial ICRP will be sent to the State of Illinois Indirect Cost unit.						
	4. Our Organization has never received a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement and elects to charge the de minimis rate of 10% modified total direct cost (MTDC) which may be used indefinitely pursuant to 2 CFR 200.414(C)(4)(f) and 200.68.						
N/A	5. For Restricted Rate Programs, our Organization is using a restricted indirect cost rate that:  is included as a "Special Indirect Cost Rate" in the NICRA, pursuant to 2 CFR 200 Appendix IV(5); or Complies with other statutory policies.  RATE %						
	No reimbursement of Indirect Cost is being requested						
	Basic Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Information (Use only if option 1 or 2, above is selected.)						
Period	Covered	by NICRA:	From:	To:			
Approv	Approving Federal or State Agency:						
Indirec	t Cost Ra	Indirect Cost Rate: The Distribution Base Is:					

### **FFATA**

The "Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) was signed on September 26, 2006. The intent is to empower every American with the ability to hold the government accountable for each spending decision. The end result is to reduce wasteful spending in the government. The FFATA legislation requires information on federal awards (federal financial assistance and expenditures) be made available to the public via a single, searchable website, which is www.USASpending.gov."

(including part (1) 80% or mosubgrants and U.S. federal c	usiness or organization's previous fiscal year, did your business or organization ent organization, all branches and affiliates worldwide) receive ore of your annual gross revenues in U.S. federal contracts, subcontracts, loans, grants, d/or cooperative agreements and (2) \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenue from ontracts, subcontracts, loans, grants, subgrants and/or cooperative agreements?  Inswer Q2 below.  Institute of the provide data.		
your business branches and Security Exch 6104 of the In	e public have access to information about the compensation of the senior executives in a or organization (including parent organization, all all affiliates worldwide) through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the ange Act of 1934 (5 U.S.C. 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section ternal Revenue code of 1986 (i.e., on IRS Form 990)?		
	Please provide names and total compensation of the top five officials:		
	NAME	AMOUNT	
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.		_	

## **Programmatic Risk Assessment Questionnaire**

The purpose of this assessment is to evaluate the programmatic risk of the applicant. Limited program experience, protocols and internal control governing program delivery will increase an applicant's degree of risk but will not prohibit the applicant from becoming a grantee.

The programmatic risk assessment questionnaire includes 5 risk categories:

- 1. Quality of management systems
- 2. History of performance
- 3. Reports and findings from audits performed
- 4. Applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory or other requirements
- 5. Agency and/or program-specific questions

Patterns or trends in programmatic risk will influence Grants Accountability and Transparency Act (GATA) training as well as the agency's monitoring plan. Appropriate support must be provided by Grants Accountability and Transparency Unit (GATU) and the agency to build grantee capacity.

#### **Administering the Programmatic Risk Assessment**

- A. The awarding agency adds program-specific references to questions in Sections 1-4, where applicable, and agency and/or grant-specific questions under section 5. The awarding agency is responsible to ensure the applicant understands that their responses are to be specific to the associated program.
- B. The programmatic risk assessment questionnaire is distributed to the applicant by the agency prior to an awarding decision.
- C. The applicant returns the completed questionnaire to the awarding agency following the agency's protocol.
- D. The agency communicates the applicable specific condition(s) through the Notice of State Award (NOSA) and Uniform Grant Agreement (UGA).

To comply with federal risk assessment requirements of 2 CFR 200.205, the state awarding agency must review the programmatic risk posed by applicants. Illinois utilizes this programmatic risk assessment questionnaire to comply with the federal requirement.

## 1. Quality of Management Systems

1.1	Do y	ou have written policies and procedures that guide program delivery on the topics of:				
a. Program outcome tracking and reporting mechanisms						
	b.	Relevant documentation of services/goods delivered				
	C.	Staff management policies and procedures				
	d.	Standards of conduct re: selection, award, or administration of grants				
	e.	Real or perceived conflict of interest re: selection, award, or administration of grants				
	f.	Complaint/grievance resolution policies and procedures				
	g.	Safeguarding funds, property and other assets against loss from unauthorized use of				
		disposition.				
	h.	Management of grant terms				
	i.	Written approval from funding agency when key personnel change				
	j.	Written approval from funding agency when program scope changes				
1.2	Do y	o you have internal controls that govern program delivery on the topics of:				
	a.	Quality assurance reporting				
	b.	Unit costs, expense analysis/management				
1.4	Does	s the organization have a time and effort system to track program-specific work performed	?			
1.4	Does	s the organization have a time and effort system to track program-specific work performed	<u>'</u>			
	a.	Does the system record all time worked, including time not charged to awards?				
	b.	Does the system include sign-off by the employee and supervisor?				
1.5	Are <sub>l</sub>	program payments based on a rate or unit of service?				
	а.	Does the organization have written procedures to ensure accurate invoicing?				
	b.	Does a second person sign-off on the invoice?				
1.6 Does the program have match or related requirements?						
	а.	Does the organization have written procedures for match reporting?				
	b.	Does a second person sign-off on match reporting?				
1.7	Is the	I e organization prepared to utilize periodic performance reports to communicate program o	utcomes?			

## 2. History of Performance

2.1	How many years of experience does your organization have with grants of comparable scope and/or capacity?				
2.2		During your last two fiscal years, how frequently has the organization submitted project performanc on time?			
2.3	Does your organization have performance measurements that tie to financial data?				
2.4	4 Have there been any significant changes in your organization in the last fiscal year related to program delivery:				
	a.	Management / leadership personnel			
	b.	Reorganization or parent / subsidiary relationships			
	C.	Significant changes in programs grant funded			
	d.	Statutory or regulatory requirements imposed on your organization type			
2.5	2.5 Will a sub-grantee/sub-recipient / sub-award be utilized to manage, administer or complete the project?				
2.6	Wha	t responsibilities will the sub-grantee/sub-recipient/sub-award perform under this program	?		
	a.	Participant eligibility determination			
	b.	Case management			
	C.	Performance reporting			
	d.	Financial reporting			
	e.	Invoicing			
	f.	Other			
2.7		t percentage of grant funds does your organization anticipate passing to Sub-Grantees Recipients/Sub-Awards?			
2.8	Does	s your organization have an implemented policy for Sub-Grantee/Sub-Recipient monitoring	<b>j</b> ?		
	If YES, does it include:				

## 3. Reports and findings from audits performed

	During the last two fiscal years, has your organization been out of compliance with programmatic terms and conditions of awards?
3.2	Have corrective actions been implemented within the specified timeframe?
3.3	Have there been findings regarding conflict of interest within the last two fiscal years?
3.4	Has your organization ever been subject to specific conditions due to program issues?
4	Applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory or other
4	. Applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory or other requirements
	requirements  To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses are reasonable,
4.1	requirements  To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses are reasonable,
4.1	requirements  To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses are reasonable, necessary and prudent (sensible)?
4.1 4.2	requirements  To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses are reasonable, necessary and prudent (sensible)?
4.1 4.2	requirements  To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic expenses are reasonable, necessary and prudent (sensible)?  To what extent does your organization have policies to ensure programmatic activities are allowable?  Has the organization been out of compliance with any statutory, regulatory or other requirements of grant

## 5. Agency and/or Program-Specific Questions

5.1	Has your organization identified local matching requirements, and level of effort requirements related to program delivery?			
5.2	Has your organization standardized local matching requirements tracking mechanism?			
5.3	Has your organization attended grant compliance training? <u>LINK</u>			
5.4	Is your organization familiar with the Grantee Compliance Enforcement System? LINK			

CERTIFICATION				
By submitting this application, I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that the				
information is true, complete and accurate and that any false, fictitious or fraudulent information				
or the omission of any material fact could result in the immediate termination of my grant				
award(s).				
Name				
Title:				
Email:				
Phone:				